COMMUNICATIONS Second Compact Compact	Illinois						
CONTEST CRITERIA A FINAL WEBSITE 1 Was the site submitted by the deadline? 2 Is the design appealing (graphics, photos, colors, and typography)? 3 Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? 4 Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements?				TEAM	SCORE		POINTS
A FINAL WEBSITE 1 Was the site submitted by the deadline? 2 Is the design appealing (graphics, photos, colors, and typography)? Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? 4 Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well integrated with content and design? 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements?			,		_		/100
1 Was the site submitted by the deadline? 2 Is the design appealing (graphics, photos, colors, and typography?) Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? 4 Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well integrated with content and design? 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements?			0-60	61-80	81-90	91-100	
2 Is the design appealing (graphics, photos, colors, and tytography)? Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? 4 Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well integrated with content and design? 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements? K							
Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well integrated with content and design? 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements? K	1	·				Х	
Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? 4 Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well integrated with content and design? 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements? K	2				Х		
integrated with content and design? 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements? K Is the Web site usable by people of all abilities? Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to online audiences? Boes the team employ original and creative methods to capture users' interests and engage online visitors? Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? B. PUBLIC EXHIBIT MATERIALS Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? Did the nouse pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? Are messages communicated appropriately? A Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? Do and the handout and signage demonstrate originality? C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	3	Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information?		х			
6 Is the Web site usable by people of all abilities? 7 Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to online audiences? 8 Does the team employ original and creative methods to capture users' interests and engage online visitors? 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? 8 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? 1 Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and lonal lines? 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? 4 Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? 2 Are both on-site presentations? Are these methods effective? 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the desion? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	4			Х			
7 obes the team communicate its messages appropriately to online audiences? 8 Does the team employ original and creative methods to capture users' interests and engage online visitors? 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? 8 PUBLIC EXHIBIT MATERIALS 1 Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? 7 C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION 7 Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the juriors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? 4 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? 5 Are the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? 5 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? 6 VIDEO WALKTHROUGH 7 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? 8 Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the obilosophy behind the design? 9 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	5	Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements?					
Online audiences?	6			X			
Boes the team employ original and creative methods to capture users' interests and engage online visitors? Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? B. PUBLIC EXHIBIT MATERIALS Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? Are messages communicated appropriately? Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Are the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough provide viewers by the public by people of the team's house? Does the walkthrough provide viewers by the public by people of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	7				Х		
9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? B. PUBLIC EXHIBIT MATERIALS 1 Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Has the team planned original and creative methods to 1 control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	8	Does the team employ original and creative methods to		Х			
B. PUBLIC EXHIBIT MATERIALS 1 handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	9					Х	
handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? X Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X X X X X X X X							
Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? K Do materials both educate and engage audiences? C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	1					Х	
a opened to the public during required public hours? 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	_	Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be				Y	
4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built							
5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built			V			Х	
C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built			^	Y			
C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built							
Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built							
Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built		Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and		Х			
control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	2			Х			
C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	1	control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting		Х			
Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built					X		
informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	C.						
Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built	1			Х			
.3 A A	2	Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the				Х	
	3				Х		
4 Has the team followed formatting requirements?	4					X	
Has the team provided a verbatim transcript to meet Section 508 Accessibility standards?		Has the team provided a verbatim transcript to meet				Х	
Total 79	To						79