

Norwich						
COMMUNICATIONS		TEAM SCORE				POINTS
		APPROACH	EQUALS	EXCEEDS	ECLIPSES	/100
CONTEST CRITERIA		0-60	61-80	81-90	91-100	
A FINAL WEBSITE						
1	Was the site submitted by the deadline?				X	
2	Is the design appealing (graphics, photos, colors, and typography)?		X			
3	Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information?	X				
4	Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well integrated with content and design?		X			
5	Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements?			X		
6	Is the Web site usable by people of all abilities?				X	
7	Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to online audiences?	X				
8	Does the team employ original and creative methods to capture users' interests and engage online visitors?	X				
9	Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3?				X	
B. PUBLIC EXHIBIT MATERIALS						
1	Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3?				X	
2	Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours?				X	
3	Are messages communicated appropriately?		X			
4	Do materials use correct spelling and grammar?				X	
5	Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality?		X			
6	Do materials both educate and engage audiences?		X			

C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION					
1	Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines?		X		
2	Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities?		X		
1	Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective?		X		
2	Are the team messages appropriate for the public?		X		
C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH					
1	Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house?		X		
2	Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design?		X		
3	Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built house on the competition site?			X	
4	Has the team followed formatting requirements?			X	
5	Has the team provided a verbatim transcript to meet Section 508 Accessibility standards?			X	
Total					72.0

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Norwich University presented a strong Vermont brand at Solar Decathlon 2013. The ethos of their project was delivered throughout their communications. This was a very likeable team with a likeable house. They know their brand and wield it effectively.